



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,492	02/27/2002	David Farcot	P21987	8439
	7590 08/17/2004		EXAMINER	
	M & BERNSTEIN, F D CLARKE PLACE			
RESTON, VA 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/083,492	FARCOT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher Bottorff	3618	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 14 June 2004 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.	
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).	<b>;</b>
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).	
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by pagand line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).	е
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).	
6.		A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and	
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.	
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fatogether, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.	all
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)	).
8.		The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9))	
9.		Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):	
		The Grouping of the Claims presented by Appellants identifies several of the finally rejected claims, but does not identify a of the finally rejected claims. As a result, the brief is not clear as to how all of the finally rejected claims stand or fall. The Grouping of the Claims must address all of the finally rejected claims. In addition, the Arguments section of the brief must explain how each group is separately patentable. This must include an explaination as to how each respective group individually distinguishes over the prior at. Also, identifying the differences in claim language between the different group	_

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

is not an argument as to why the claims are separately patentable.